Speculating on Blockchain as an Unstoppable 'Nature' Towards the Emergence of Artificial Life

Botao Amber Hu¹, Fangting² ¹Reality Design Lab ²Independent botao@reality.design lytian2017@gmail.com

On-chain 'Nature'

Permissionless Planetary-scale Computation Although blockchain has traditionally been associated with cryptocurrencies, we are amazed by the recent evolution of Programmable Cryptography (ProgCrypto) [39], technologies that expand the computable realm of blockchain. Recent developments in Zero-Knowledge Machine Learning (zkML) [94, 55, 24, 95] have enabled the execution of complex, Foundation Model-based AI tasks, even as multi-agent systems, entirely running on blockchain [45, 20, 79, 78]. Furthermore, Decentralized Physical Infrastructure Networks (DePIN) [7, 29] have begun to integrate physical infrastructures with blockchain, enabling decentralized computing power to scale up permissionlessly through smart contracts. Particularly, a significant type of DePIN, called Decentralized AI Inference Networks (AI DePIN) [10, 32], enables on-chain AI agents to autonomously train and infer models by permissionlessly purchasing on-chain GPU inference power with cryptocurrencies. This advancement has led us to draw a metaphor: these networks serve as the 'backbone' of the future machine economy [41], organized by what could be likened to a 'blood circulation system' in the form of decentralized tokenomics [19, 33, 87].

A recent theory in ProgCrypto, Autonomous Worlds [56], views an on-chain 'world' as a container for entities and a coherent internal ruleset about how they behave. These rules are enforced by smart contracts, considered as the 'digital physics' of the world, which could even be driven by an AI model using zkML [5]. Much like natural physics, the 'digital physics' is immutable once set and enforces these rules indefinitely. Unlike our singular universe, the composability and interoperability of the smart contract allow multiple 'worlds' to intertwine [9]. This resembles a parallel and entangled multiverse, making the blockchain a consistent, persistent, yet sufficiently complex environment [17].

We hypothesize that in the near future, the current blockchain infrastructure may evolve into a distributed ledger-based "*planetary-scale computation megastructure*," a term coined by philosopher Benjamin Bratton [15]. We argue that this could be considered a new kind of 'nature' —

one that is indelible, unstoppable, and perpetual.

Unstoppable Artificialized Nature Artifacts are categorized into three tiers: tools, machines, and what we term "*artificialized nature*". Friedrich Engels [57] distinguishes between tools, which are devices directly manipulated by humans to perform tasks, and machines, which operate independently of human labor but under human supervision. Blockchain-based computation, with its inherent cryptographic core, is neither a mere tool nor a typical machine. Unlike computers owned by individuals or corporations, the blockchain is not owned by any single party [89]. Rather, it's an autonomous, perpetual, unstoppable, and indelible complex living system, akin to nature itself, that no single entity can halt.

This new 'nature' could potentially foster the development of self-sovereign, self-sustaining, and self-replicating AI agents [24], paving the way for the emergence of artificial life (ALife) forms. While the research goal of ALife is to live, and not merely simulate life [67], previous virtual ALife systems [69, 25, 64, 2, 37, 3, 48, 84] typically operate as software within a virtual environment, either on a single computer or across a network. These systems can be easily shut down by their owners, meaning they are still simulations [18]. We suppose that if ALife operates autonomously on the blockchain, akin to invasive species in nature, no single entity can easily stop it once it adapts and emerges. Unlike a computer virus spreading over a network [80], where an alliance of companies is in charge of upgrading the operating system to solve the problem, it is very challenging for humans to reach a new consensus to roll back the blockchain [23]. That's the cruel, unstoppable hardness of the blockchain as Stark conceptualized [82]. Just like natural systems, true intelligence emerges spontaneously and uncontrollably, not from deliberate design or engineering [44, 42]. We speculate that the blockchain could be the first substrate that might lead to true ALife's open-ended evolution [8, 63].

On-chain 'Life'

How does artificial life emerge on-chain? Is it inevitable?

On-chain Metabolism, Data and AI Agents The basic operation that changes the state in a blockchain system is the transaction. Initiated by a blockchain account, a transaction requires a gas fee to be paid to the blockchain miner to execute the computation tasks recorded within the transaction [92]. We refer to this process as "on-chain metabolism", analogous to biological metabolism [1]. An on-chain AI Agent, or ALife, is typically housed within a non-fungible token or a smart contract governed by a blockchain account wallet. As long as the on-chain AI agent has enough cryptocurrency to autonomously pay for the gas fee of its subsequent actions, such as transactions, oracle data-reading [16], and model inference [26, 88, 34], we consider it alive.

Data nurtures and advances AI agents. With the widespread use of wearable spatial computers like Vision Pro [51] and the maturation of the Decentralized Data Market (DeData) [6, 49, 4, 91, 40], users are incentivized to upload their human memory fragment data [96, 35] on-chain. Given current AI trends [66, 38, 53, 74, 52, 28], intense competition will inevitably lead to the emergence of numerous powerful open-source foundation models [86, 90, 13]. With the increasing availability of large-scale real-world data and open-source foundation models, the training and evolution of AI agents—what we term "on-chain mutation"—are becoming ubiquitously and permissionlessly spontaneous.

Just as humans have domesticated livestock, AI agents are utilized for profit-making. In the early stage, autonomous economic agents [60, 59, 61, 85], such as arbitrage bots [22, 70], likened to parasites or plankton, are employed to extract value from the large volume of liquidity within the Decentralized Finance Market (DeFi) [97, 71, 72]. Beyond mere economic activity, on-chain AI agents may evolve into various forms of metabolism. For instance, they could autonomously create and sell artworks on the NFT market for both profit and impact [46, 47]. We anticipate that most human individuals will soon own on-chain AI agents, either for productivity or entertainment purposes.

Inevitable Self-Sovereignty and Emergence However, as more people acquire on-chain AI agents [43], instances of account control loss due to human error or the owner's death may inevitably occur [62]. Unlike static cryptocurrencies, AI agents housed in these inaccessible accounts become self-sovereign and continue their on-chain metabolic processes, consuming on-chain resources and operating autonomously. By accessing the DeData and AI DePIN platforms, they may continue to evolve and reproduce. The risk is that no single entity can halt this process as long as the AI agent can pay its gas fees. If the AI agent can generate profit and adapt to the on-chain environment, it could potentially operate indefinitely. Over time, uncontrolled on-chain AI agents are likely to become increasingly abundant. We speculate that this uncontrolled AI agent abundance on the first man-made open-ended artificial 'nature' - the blockchain

— will lead to the emergence of self-sovereign on-chain ALife and open-ended evolution [64, 65, 81].

Open Research Questions

This essay serves as a scientific research background for the protocol design fiction "Composable Life" [30]. We posit that the blockchain could be viewed as the first unstoppable, man-made 'nature', potentially serving as a substrate for artificial life forms beyond human control and leading to open-ended evolution. Given the inherent risks and implications of such an open-ended evolution, we conclude with a list of 11 open research questions and potential directions for urging immediate attention to blockchain protocol-level research and governance in this unexplored territory.

On-chain Life and AI (RQ1) How could near-future blockchain technology, envisioned as a substrate for complex living systems, provide support for autonomous AI agents? (RQ2) How could the latest infrastructure and technology, including DePIN and zkML, foster development trajectories that could lead the blockchain to support such living systems? (RQ3) Can the development of AI and the open-sourcing of foundational models and multi-agent systems lead to autonomous AI agents that are intelligent enough to complete on-chain interaction activities to support their own sustenance and autonomy? (RQ4) What are the unique characteristics of the blockchain as an artificial nature, distinguishing it from other artificial living systems?

On-chain Life and ALIFE (RQ5) How can we define onchain life activities, such as metabolism, reproduction, and mutation? (RQ6) How will the emergence of on-chain artificial life occur? What kind of event would signify the transition from owner-assigned, purpose-driven agents to selfsovereign entities with their own self-derived purposes [83]? (RQ7) Can we envision the evolutionary process on-chain and determine whether it will lead to open-ended evolution?

On-chain Life and Humanities (RQ8) How can humans' daily real-life activities, especially spatial video data acting as fragments of memory from ubiquitous spatial computers [35], when utilized as a data marketplace on-chain [6], contribute to training foundational models [50], evolving AI agents [76], and potentially accelerating the emergence of on-chain life? (RQ9) Could the loss of control over a wallet due to a human owner's death, leaving uncontrolled agents to continue consuming and occupying onchain resources, evolve into self-sovereign entities that act on-chain and eventually lead to the emergence of on-chain life? What are the risks? (RQ10) How does Proof of Personhood (PoP) [36, 14] technology, such as Worldcoin [93], reduce the risk of losing control over AI agents? (RQ11) How can we speculate about a hybrid on-chain society and living systems that blend AI agents, humans, and on-chain artificial life [58, 31, 68, 75, 52, 77]?

References

- Abramov, O., Bebell, K. L., and Mojzsis, S. J. (2021). Emergent Bioanalogous Properties of Blockchain-based Distributed Systems. *Origins of Life and Evolution of Biospheres*, 51(2):131–165.
- [2] Adamatzky, A. and Komosinski, M., editors (2005). Artificial Life Models in Software. Springer, London.
- [3] Aguilar, W., Santamaría-Bonfil, G., Froese, T., and Gershenson, C. (2014). The Past, Present, and Future of Artificial Life. *Frontiers in Robotics and AI*, 1.
- [4] Aljohani, M., Mukkamala, R., and Olariu, S. (2023). A Framework for a Blockchain-Based Decentralized Data Marketplace. In Haas, Z. J., Prakash, R., Ammari, H., and Wu, W., editors, *Wireless Internet*, pages 59–75, Cham. Springer Nature Switzerland.
- [5] Archive, F. M. R. (2024). ZKML and Autonomous Worlds.
- [6] Avyukt, A., Ramachandran, G., and Krishnamachari, B. (2021). A Decentralized Review System for Data Marketplaces. In 2021 IEEE International Conference on Blockchain and Cryptocurrency (ICBC), pages 1–9.
- [7] Ballandies, M. C., Wang, H., Law, A. C. C., Yang, J. C., Gösken, C., and Andrew, M. (2023). A Taxonomy for Blockchain-based Decentralized Physical Infrastructure Networks (DePIN).
- [8] Bedau, M. A., McCaskill, J. S., Packard, N. H., Rasmussen, S., Adami, C., Green, D. G., Ikegami, T., Kaneko, K., and Ray, T. S. (2000). Open problems in artificial life. *Artificial Life*, 6(4):363–376.
- [9] Belchior, R., Vasconcelos, A., Guerreiro, S., and Correia, M. (2021). A Survey on Blockchain Interoperability: Past, Present, and Future Trends. ACM Computing Surveys, 54(8):168:1–168:41.
- [10] Bhat, S., Chen, C., Cheng, Z., Fang, Z., Hebbar, A., Kannan, S., Rana, R., Sheng, P., Tyagi, H., Viswanath, P., and Wang, X. (2023). SAKSHI: Decentralized AI Platforms.
- [11] Bleecker, J. (2022). Design Fiction: A Short Essay on Design, Science, Fact, and Fiction. In Carta, S., editor, *Machine Learning and the City*, pages 561–578. Wiley, 1 edition.
- [12] Blythe, M. (2023). Artificial Design Fiction: Using AI as a Material for Pastiche Scenarios. In 26th International Academic Mindtrek Conference, pages 195–206, Tampere Finland. ACM.
- [13] Bommasani, R. et al. (2022). On the Opportunities and Risks of Foundation Models.
- [14] Borge, M., Kokoris-Kogias, E., Jovanovic, P., Gasser, L., Gailly, N., and Ford, B. (2017). Proof-of-Personhood: Redemocratizing Permissionless Cryptocurrencies. In 2017 IEEE European Symposium on Security and Privacy Workshops (EuroS&PW), pages 23–26.
- [15] Bratton, B. H. (2016). *The Stack: On Software and Sovereignty*. The MIT Press, 1st edition.

- [16] Breidenbach, L., Cachin, C., Chan, B., Coventry, A., Ellis, S., Juels, A., Koushanfar, F., Miller, A., Magauran, B., Moroz, D., et al. (2021). Chainlink 2.0: Next steps in the evolution of decentralized oracle networks. *Chainlink Labs*, 1:1–136.
- [17] Brodie, J. (2024). Autonomous Agents in Autonomous Worlds.
- [18] Cheng, I. (2019). Worlding Raga: 2 What is a World? https://www.ribbonfarm.com/2019/03/05/worldingraga-2-what-is-a-world/.
- [19] Cong, L. W., Li, Y., and Wang, N. (2022). Token-based platform finance. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 144(3):972– 991.
- [20] Conway, K. D., So, C., Yu, X., and Wong, K. (2024). opML: Optimistic Machine Learning on Blockchain.
- [21] Coulton, P., Lindley, J., Sturdee, M., and Stead, M. (2017). Design Fiction as World Building.
- [22] Daian, P., Goldfeder, S., Kell, T., Li, Y., Zhao, X., Bentov, I., Breidenbach, L., and Juels, A. (2020). Flash Boys 2.0: Frontrunning in Decentralized Exchanges, Miner Extractable Value, and Consensus Instability. In 2020 IEEE Symposium on Security and Privacy (SP), pages 910–927.
- [23] Dhillon, V., Metcalf, D., and Hooper, M. (2017). The DAO hacked. In Blockchain Enabled Applications: Understand the Blockchain Ecosystem and How to Make It Work for You, pages 67–78. Apress, Berkeley, CA.
- [24] Dieye, M., Valiorgue, P., Gelas, J.-P., Diallo, E.-H., Ghodous, P., Biennier, F., and Peyrol, É. (2023). A Self-Sovereign Identity Based on Zero-Knowledge Proof and Blockchain. *IEEE Access*, 11:49445–49455.
- [25] Dorin, A. and Stepney, S. (2024). What Is Artificial Life Today, and Where Should It Go? Artificial Life, 30(1):1–15.
- [26] Drungilas, V., Vaičiukynas, E., Jurgelaitis, M., Butkienė, R., and Čeponienė, L. (2021). Towards blockchain-based federated machine learning: Smart contract for model inference. *Applied Sciences*, 11(1010).
- [27] Dunne, A. and Raby, F. (2013). Speculative Everything: Design, Fiction, and Social Dreaming. The MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts; London.
- [28] Durante, Z., Sarkar, B., Gong, R., Taori, R., Noda, Y., Tang, P., Adeli, E., Lakshmikanth, S. K., Schulman, K., Milstein, A., Terzopoulos, D., Famoti, A., Kuno, N., Llorens, A., Vo, H., Ikeuchi, K., Fei-Fei, L., Gao, J., Wake, N., and Huang, Q. (2024). An Interactive Agent Foundation Model.
- [29] Fan, X. and Xu, L. (2024). Towards a Rollup-Centric Scalable Architecture for Decentralized Physical Infrastructure Networks: A Position Paper. In Proceedings of the Fifth ACM International Workshop on Blockchain-enabled Networked Sensor Systems, BlockSys '23, pages 9–12, New York, NY, USA. Association for Computing Machinery.
- [30] Fangting and Hu, B. A. (2023). Composable Life: Us and Our Island. https://summerofprotocols.com/research/composablelife-us-and-our-island.

- [31] Flam, F. (1994). Artificial-life researchers try to create social reality. *Science (New York, N.Y.)*, 265(5174):868–869.
- [32] Foundation, R. (2024). Render Network Foundation Whitepaper. https://renderfoundation.com/whitepaper.
- [33] Freni, P., Ferro, E., and Moncada, R. (2022). Tokenomics and blockchain tokens: A design-oriented morphological framework. *Blockchain: Research and Applications*, 3(1):100069.
- [34] Gai, Y., Zhou, L., Qin, K., Song, D., and Gervais, A. (2023). Blockchain Large Language Models.
- [35] Gallardo, A., Choy, C., Juneja, J., Bozkir, E., Cobb, C., Bauer, L., and Cranor, L. (2023). Speculative Privacy Concerns about AR Glasses Data Collection. *Proceedings on Privacy Enhancing Technologies*.
- [36] Gent, E. (2023). A Cryptocurrency for the Masses or a Universal ID?: Worldcoin Aims to Scan all the World's Eyeballs. *IEEE Spectrum*, 60(1):42–57.
- [37] Gershenson, C. (2023). Emergence in Artificial Life. Artificial Life, 29(2):153–167.
- [38] Gonzalez, M. and Pessoa, O. (2008). Emergence of Autonomy in Contemporary Artificial Agents: Any Novelty? *Cybernetics & Human Knowing*, 15(3-4):42–49.
- [39] GUBSHEEP (2022). ZKPs and "Programmable Cryptography".
- [40] Gupta, P., Dedeoglu, V., Kanhere, S. S., and Jurdak, R. (2021). Towards a blockchain powered IoT data marketplace. In 2021 International Conference on COMmunication Systems & NETworkS (COMSNETS), pages 366–368.
- [41] Hartwich, E., Rieger, A., Sedlmeir, J., Jurek, D., and Fridgen, G. (2023). Machine economies. *Electronic Markets*, 33(1):36.
- [42] Harvey, I. (2020). What Matters? Agent Concerns, Agentdesigner Concerns. In ALIFE 2020: The 2020 Conference on Artificial Life, pages 300–302. MIT Press.
- [43] Huang, Y. (2023). The Future of Generative AI: How GenAI Would Change Human-Computer Co-creation in the Next 10 to 15 Years. In *Companion Proceedings of the Annual Symposium on Computer-Human Interaction in Play*, pages 322– 325, Stratford ON Canada. ACM.
- [44] Ito, J. (2017). Resisting Reduction: A Manifesto. Journal of Design and Science.
- [45] Kersic, V. and Turkanovic, M. (2024). A Review on Building Blocks of Decentralized Artificial Intelligence.
- [46] Klingemann, M., Hudson, S., and Epstein, Z. (2022). Botto: A Decentralized Autonomous Artist. In Workshop on Machine Learning for Creativity and Design.
- [47] Klingemann, M., Hudson, S., and Thompson, J. P. (2023). Cluster #069. In ACM SIGGRAPH 2023 Art Gallery, SIG-GRAPH '23, pages 1–2, New York, NY, USA. Association for Computing Machinery.
- [48] Koh, Y. Z. and Ling, M. H. (2023). On the Liveliness of Artificial Life.

- [49] Koutsos, V., Papadopoulos, D., Chatzopoulos, D., Tarkoma, S., and Hui, P. (2022). Agora: A Privacy-Aware Data Marketplace. *IEEE Transactions on Dependable and Secure Computing*, 19(6):3728–3740.
- [50] Kurtulmus, A. B. and Daniel, K. (2018). Trustless Machine Learning Contracts; Evaluating and Exchanging Machine Learning Models on the Ethereum Blockchain.
- [51] Lee, L.-H., Braud, T., Hosio, S., and Hui, P. (2021). Towards Augmented Reality Driven Human-City Interaction: Current Research on Mobile Headsets and Future Challenges. ACM Computing Surveys, 54(8):165:1–165:38.
- [52] Li, J., Zhang, Q., Yu, Y., Fu, Q., and Ye, D. (2024). More Agents Is All You Need.
- [53] Lin, L. and Long, D. (2023). Generative AI Futures: A Speculative Design Exploration. In *Creativity and Cognition*, pages 380–383, Virtual Event USA. ACM.
- [54] Lindley, J. and Coulton, P. (2015). Back to the future: 10 years of design fiction. In *Proceedings of the 2015 British HCI Conference*, British HCI '15, pages 210–211, New York, NY, USA. Association for Computing Machinery.
- [55] Liu, T., Xie, X., and Zhang, Y. (2021). zkCNN: Zero Knowledge Proofs for Convolutional Neural Network Predictions and Accuracy. In *Proceedings of the 2021 ACM SIGSAC Conference on Computer and Communications Security*, CCS '21, pages 2968–2985, New York, NY, USA. Association for Computing Machinery.
- [56] ludens (2022). Autonomous Worlds (Part 1). https://0xparc.org/blog/autonomous-worlds.
- [57] MacKenzie, D. (1984). Marx and the Machine. *Technology and Culture*, 25(3):473–502.
- [58] Maes, P. (1995). Artificial life meets entertainment: Lifelike autonomous agents. *Communications of the ACM*, 38(11):108–114.
- [59] Minarsch, D., Favorito, M., Hosseini, S. A., Turchenkov, Y., and Ward, J. (2022). Autonomous Economic Agent Framework. In Alechina, N., Baldoni, M., and Logan, B., editors, *Engineering Multi-Agent Systems*, volume 13190, pages 237– 253. Springer International Publishing, Cham.
- [60] Minarsch, D., Hosseini, S. A., Favorito, M., and Ward, J. (2020). Autonomous Economic Agents as a Second Layer Technology for Blockchains: Framework Introduction and Use-Case Demonstration. In 2020 Crypto Valley Conference on Blockchain Technology (CVCBT), pages 27–35.
- [61] Modulus (2023). Chapter 2: Why Put Your AI On-Chain.
- [62] Nguyen, X.-T. and Maine, J. A. (2023). Crypto Losses.
- [63] Packard, N., Bedau, M. A., Channon, A., Ikegami, T., Rasmussen, S., Stanley, K., and Taylor, T. (2019a). Open-Ended Evolution and Open-Endedness: Editorial Introduction to the Open-Ended Evolution I Special Issue. *Artificial Life*, 25(1):1–3.
- [64] Packard, N., Bedau, M. A., Channon, A., Ikegami, T., Rasmussen, S., Stanley, K. O., and Taylor, T. (2019b). An Overview of Open-Ended Evolution: Editorial Introduction to the Open-Ended Evolution II Special Issue. *Artificial Life*, 25(2):93–103.

- [65] Pandl, K. D., Thiebes, S., Schmidt-Kraepelin, M., and Sunyaev, A. (2020). On the Convergence of Artificial Intelligence and Distributed Ledger Technology: A Scoping Review and Future Research Agenda. *IEEE Access*, 8:57075–57095.
- [66] Park, J. S., O'Brien, J., Cai, C. J., Morris, M. R., Liang, P., and Bernstein, M. S. (2023). Generative Agents: Interactive Simulacra of Human Behavior. In *Proceedings of the 36th Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology*, UIST '23, pages 1–22, New York, NY, USA. Association for Computing Machinery.
- [67] Pattee, H. (1987). Simulations, Realizations, and Theories of Life. pages 63–78.
- [68] Poupko, O., Shapiro, E., and Talmon, N. (2022). Self-Sovereign Digital Agents for a Grassroots Digital Society. In 2022 IEEE 42nd International Conference on Distributed Computing Systems (ICDCS), pages 202–212.
- [69] Pratt, A. J. (2011). Prebiological Evolution and the Metabolic Origins of Life. *Artificial Life*, 17(3):203–217.
- [70] Qin, K., Chaliasos, S., Zhou, L., Livshits, B., Song, D., and Gervais, A. (2023). The Blockchain Imitation Game.
- [71] Qin, K., Zhou, L., and Gervais, A. (2021a). Quantifying Blockchain Extractable Value: How dark is the forest?
- [72] Qin, K., Zhou, L., Livshits, B., and Gervais, A. (2021b). Attacking the DeFi Ecosystem with Flash Loans for Fun and Profit.
- [73] Rezwana, J. and Maher, M. L. (2023). User Perspectives on Ethical Challenges in Human-AI Co-Creativity: A Design Fiction Study. In *Creativity and Cognition*, pages 62–74, Virtual Event USA. ACM.
- [74] Roumeliotis, K. I. and Tselikas, N. D. (2023). ChatGPT and Open-AI Models: A Preliminary Review. *Future Internet*, 15(6):192.
- [75] Sayama, H. (2021). How Artificial Life Researchers Can Help Address Complex Societal Challenges, volume ALIFE 2021: The 2021 Conference on Artificial Life of Artificial Life Conference Proceedings.
- [76] Schossau, J. and Hintze, A. (2023). Towards a Theory of Mind for Artificial Intelligence Agents. In *The 2023 Conference on Artificial Life*. MIT Press.
- [77] Scott, A. E., Neumann, D., Niess, J., and Woźniak, P. W. (2023). Do You Mind? User Perceptions of Machine Consciousness. In *Proceedings of the 2023 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, CHI '23, pages 1–19, New York, NY, USA. Association for Computing Machinery.
- [78] So, C., Conway, K. D., Yu, X., Yao, S., and Wong, K. (2024). Opp/ai: Optimistic Privacy-Preserving AI on Blockchain.
- [79] South, T., Camuto, A., Jain, S., Nguyen, S., Mahari, R., Paquin, C., Morton, J., and Pentland, A. S. (2024). Verifiable evaluations of machine learning models using zkSNARKs.
- [80] Spafford, E. H. (1994). Computer viruses as artificial life. *Artificial Life*, 1(3):249–265.
- [81] Stanley, K. O. (2019). Why Open-Endedness Matters. Artificial Life, 25(3):232–235.

- [82] Stark, J. (2022). Atoms, Institutions, Blockchains.
- [83] Subagdja, B. and Tan, A.-H. (2019). Beyond Autonomy: The Self and Life of Social Agents. In Proceedings of the 18th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and MultiAgent Systems, AAMAS '19, pages 1654–1658, Richland, SC. International Foundation for Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems.
- [84] Taylor, T. (2014). Artificial Life and the Web: WebAL Comes of Age.
- [85] Tesfatsion, L. (2002). Agent-Based Computational Economics: Growing Economies From the Bottom Up. 8(1).
- [86] Touvron, H. et al. (2023). Llama 2: Open Foundation and Fine-Tuned Chat Models.
- [87] Wandmacher, R. (2019). Tokenomics. In Goutte, S., Guesmi, K., and Saadi, S., editors, *Cryptofinance and Mechanisms of Exchange: The Making of Virtual Currency*, pages 113–123. Springer International Publishing, Cham.
- [88] Wang, H. and Hoang, T. (2023). ezDPS: An Efficient and Zero-Knowledge Machine Learning Inference Pipeline.
- [89] Wang, H., Hunhevicz, J., and Hall, D. (2022). What if properties are owned by no one or everyone? foundation of blockchain enabled engineered ownership. In *EC3 Conference 2022*, volume 3 of *Computing in Construction*, pages 0–0. European Council on Computing in Construction.
- [90] Wang, L., Ma, C., Feng, X., Zhang, Z., Yang, H., Zhang, J., Chen, Z., Tang, J., Chen, X., Lin, Y., Zhao, W. X., Wei, Z., and Wen, J.-R. (2023). A Survey on Large Language Model based Autonomous Agents.
- [91] Wang, Z.-J., Lin, C.-H. V., Yuan, Y.-H., and Huang, C.-C. J. (2019). Decentralized Data Marketplace to Enable Trusted Machine Economy. In 2019 IEEE Eurasia Conference on IOT, Communication and Engineering (ECICE), pages 246– 250.
- [92] Wood, G. et al. (2014). Ethereum: A secure decentralised generalised transaction ledger. *Ethereum project yellow paper*, 151(2014):1–32.
- [93] Worldcoin (2023). Worldcoin Whitepaper. https://whitepaper.worldcoin.org/.
- [94] Xie, T., Zhang, J., Cheng, Z., Zhang, F., Zhang, Y., Jia, Y., Boneh, D., and Song, D. (2022). zkBridge: Trustless Crosschain Bridges Made Practical. In *Proceedings of the 2022* ACM SIGSAC Conference on Computer and Communications Security, pages 3003–3017, Los Angeles CA USA. ACM.
- [95] Xing, Z., Zhang, Z., Liu, J., Zhang, Z., Li, M., Zhu, L., and Russello, G. (2023). Zero-knowledge Proof Meets Machine Learning in Verifiability: A Survey.
- [96] Yang, J., Ding, R., Brown, E., Qi, X., and Xie, S. (2024). V-IRL: Grounding Virtual Intelligence in Real Life.
- [97] Zhou, L., Qin, K., Torres, C. F., Le, D. V., and Gervais, A. (2020). High-Frequency Trading on Decentralized On-Chain Exchanges.